Upward planar drawings with three or more slopes

Jonathan Klawitter · Johannes Zink















k = 3

Motivation



Related work

Czyzowicz et al. '90:

Every finite planar lattice with maximum up/down-degree 2, has an upward planar 2-slope drawing.

Czyzowicz '91:

Maximum up/downdegree of lattice is only a lower bound for slope number.



Related work

Czyzowicz et al. '90:

Every finite planar lattice with maximum up/down-degree 2, has an upward planar 2-slope drawing.

Czyzowicz '91:

Maximum up/downdegree of lattice is only a lower bound for slope number.

Bekos et al. '18:

Every bitonic st-graph with maximum in-/outdegree k admits an upward planar 1-bend k-slope drawing.

Di Giacomo et al. '20 ('16):

Every series-parallel digraph with maximum in-/outdegree k admits an upward planar 1-bend k-slope drawing.





Related work

Czyzowicz et al. '90:

Every finite planar lattice with maximum up/down-degree 2, has an upward planar 2-slope drawing.

Czyzowicz '91:

Maximum up/downdegree of lattice is only a lower bound for slope number.

Bekos et al. '18:

Every bitonic st-graph with maximum in-/outdegree k admits an upward planar 1-bend k-slope drawing.

Di Giacomo et al. '20 ('16):

Every series-parallel digraph with maximum in-/outdegree k admits an upward planar 1-bend k-slope drawing.

Brückner et al. '19:

Considered level-planar drawings with a fixed slope set.







Let G be a digraph given with/without upward planar embedding.

- Does G admit an upward planar k-slope drawing?
- If so, can we construct one?

Let G be a digraph given with/without upward planar embedding.

- Does G admit an upward planar k-slope drawing?
- If so, can we construct one?

k = 3:



bad embedding

Let G be a digraph given with/without upward planar embedding.

- Does G admit an upward planar k-slope drawing?
- If so, can we construct one?

k = 3:



bad embedding



good embedding

Let G be a digraph given with/without upward planar embedding.

- Does G admit an upward planar k-slope drawing?
- If so, can we construct one?

k = 3:



bad embedding



good embedding

no good embedding ex.

Every unordered tree T with max. in- and outdegree k admits an upward planar k-slope drawing on the grid



Every unordered tree T with max. in- and outdegree k admits an upward planar k-slope drawing on the grid



Every unordered tree T with max. in- and outdegree k admits an upward planar k-slope drawing on the grid



Every unordered tree T with max. in- and outdegree k admits an upward planar k-slope drawing on the grid





Every unordered tree T with max. in- and outdegree k admits an upward planar k-slope drawing on the grid or with uniform angles.



Every unordered tree T with max. in- and outdegree k admits an upward planar k-slope drawing on the grid or with uniform angles.

Theorem.

The upward plane slope number of an ordered tree T can be determined in linear time.









uniform angles

Theorem.

For an n-vertex upward planar (plane) cactus G,



Theorem.

For an *n*-vertex upward planar (plane) cactus G, it can be tested whether G admits an upward planar k-slope drawing with uniform angles in $\mathcal{O}(k^4n^2)$ time.





Theorem.

For an *n*-vertex upward planar (plane) cactus G, it can be tested whether G admits an upward planar k-slope drawing with uniform angles in $\mathcal{O}(k^4n^2)$ time.



block-cut tree

k = 3

Theorem.

For an *n*-vertex upward planar (plane) cactus G, it can be tested whether G admits an upward planar k-slope drawing with uniform angles in $\mathcal{O}(k^4n^2)$ time.





rooted block-cut tree

Theorem.

For an *n*-vertex upward planar (plane) cactus G, it can be tested whether G admits an upward planar k-slope drawing with uniform angles in $\mathcal{O}(k^4n^2)$ time.





rooted block-cut tree

Theorem.

For an *n*-vertex upward planar (plane) cactus G, it can be tested whether G admits an upward planar k-slope drawing with uniform angles in $\mathcal{O}(k^4n^2)$ time.



rooted block-cut tree

k = 3

Cactus digraphs – cycle block



Cactus digraphs – cycle block





Cactus digraphs – cycle block





Cactus digraphs – cycle block





Cactus digraphs – cycle block



k = 3

Cactus digraphs – cycle block





8 - 6

embedding

embedding

Cactus digraphs – cycle block



8 - 7

k = 3

Cactus digraphs – cycle block



k = 3
Cactus digraphs – cycle block

Combinatorial realization



k = 3

Cactus digraphs – cycle block





9 - 1

Cactus digraphs – cycle block





9 - 2

Cactus digraphs – cycle block



k = 3

Cactus digraphs – cycle block



use Turtlegon algorithm stay clear of parent by Culberson and Rawlins shrink exponentially

Cactus digraphs – cycle block



9 - 5



use Turtlegon algorithm by Culberson and Rawlins

Cactus digraphs – cycle block



9 - 6

₿**E** stay clear of parent shrink exponentially

use Turtlegon algorithm by Culberson and Rawlins

Cactus digraphs – cycle block



9 - 7

₿**E** stay clear of parent shrink exponentially

use Turtlegon algorithm by Culberson and Rawlins

Cactus digraphs – cycle block



9 - 8

₿**E** stay clear of parent shrink exponentially

use Turtlegon algorithm by Culberson and Rawlins

Geometric realization



₿**E** use Turtlegon algorithm stay clear of parent by Culberson and Rawlins shrink exponentially

Geometric realization



₿**E** stay clear of parent shrink exponentially

use Turtlegon algorithm by Culberson and Rawlins

Geometric realization



9 - 11



Geometric realization





Geometric realization



9 - 13



Cactus digraphs – cycle block Geometric realization



9 - 14

₿**E** \mathcal{C} Ō use Turtlegon algorithm stay clear of parent by Culberson and Rawlins shrink exponentially





Theorem.

Given an outerplanar digraph G with/without upward planar embedding, it is **NP-hard** to decide if G admits an upward planar 3-slope drawing.

Theorem.

Given an outerplanar digraph G with/without upward planar embedding, it is **NP-hard** to decide if G admits an upward planar 3-slope drawing.



J.R

10 - 3

Theorem.

Given an outerplanar digraph G with/without upward planar embedding, it is **NP-hard** to decide if G admits an upward planar 3-slope drawing.





10 - 4

Theorem.

Given an outerplanar digraph G with/without upward planar embedding, it is **NP-hard** to decide if G admits an upward planar 3-slope drawing.







Theorem.

Given an outerplanar digraph G with/without upward planar embedding, it is **NP-hard** to decide if G admits an upward planar 3-slope drawing.







10 - 6

Theorem.

Given an outerplanar digraph G with/without upward planar embedding, it is **NP-hard** to decide if G admits an upward planar 3-slope drawing.







10 - 7

Theorem.

Given an outerplanar digraph G with/without upward planar embedding, it is **NP-hard** to decide if G admits an upward planar 3-slope drawing.







10 - 8

Theorem.

Given an outerplanar digraph G with/without upward planar embedding, it is **NP-hard** to decide if G admits an upward planar 3-slope drawing.





Variable gadget:



Variable gadget:







10 - 12





Theorem.

Theorem.



Theorem.



Theorem.



Theorem.

Given an upward planar digraph G, it is **NP-hard** to decide if G admits an upward planar k-slope drawing for $k \ge 3$ if an embedding is specified and for $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, 4\}$ otherwise.


Open questions

Problem also hard for outerplanar digraphs and $k \ge 4$?

• ... for upward planar digraphs without fixed embedding and k = 4?

Open questions

Problem also hard for outerplanar digraphs and $k \ge 4$?

- ... for upward planar digraphs without fixed embedding and k = 4?
- Drawings of cactus graph on grid?
- Area requirements of tree drawings?

Open questions

Problem also hard for outerplanar digraphs and $k \ge 4$?

• ... for upward planar digraphs without fixed embedding and k = 4?

12 - 3

- Drawings of cactus graph on grid?
- Area requirements of tree drawings?
- What about outerpaths?
- What if we drop planarity?
- For fixed k, study the segment number
- Allow ℓ bends per edge

. . .







